

The

# **Murder of Europe**

Genocidal British Geopolitics

Versus

Europe's Volk-Dharma



**LORENZ KRAUS**

*Dedicated to the eternal life of the Deutsche.*

“Only a moral victory can achieve permanent victory. If the good does not triumph, the war is still open to reversal. The good can make a comeback through renewed support for the good. A victor who wishes to permanently hold his conquest must be perceived as the good guy.”

–Jan Lamprecht *Government by Deception*

“Our new idea places folk & race higher than the existing state and its forms. It declares *protection of the people* to be more important than protection of a religious creed, a class, of the monarchy or the republic. It sees in *betrayal of the people* a greater betrayal than high treason.”

–Alfred Rosenberg

“The real aggressor is he who forces the enemy to fire the first shot.”

–Friedrich the Great.

“To the present day a written order by Hitler regarding the destruction of the European Jewish community has not been found, and, in all probability, this order was never given.”

((Walter Laqueur))<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://vho.org/GB/Journals/JHR/8/2/Mattogno133-172.html>

# INVITATION

Readers are invited to make accurate and complete copies of this book for sale (or for free), *without* omission or alteration, in digital or print formats.

Translations must be faithful to the meaning of the text.

The most effective civil disobedience is to put this book in the hands of teenagers, politicians, academics, and journalists.

Cover-Art may be omitted for reprint purposes.

Lorenz Kraus © 2020

Cover-Art depicts the two-faced British motif of Jekyll and Hyde.

## Contents

### Part 1

Chapter 1 Introducing the Berlin to Baghdad Railroad

Chapter 2 GENOCIDAL BRITISH GEOPOLITICS

Chapter 3 Repudiating British Conquests

# Chapter 1

Have you ever heard of the Berlin-to-Baghdad Railroad?



*Map of the proposed Berlin to Baghdad Railroad*

There is a reason you never heard of it.

The RULING SCUM doesn't want you to know about it. If you knew about it, you would be living in a beautiful world, an

alternative to the world of senseless foreign wars, violence, and fake history that you have inherited.

CIA Director William J. Casey, "We'll know our disinformation program is complete when everything the American public believes is false."

Just as problems breed problems, and solutions breed solutions, lies and deceptions breed bigger lies and deceptions, until the world reaches a state of collective madness.<sup>2</sup> At the height of its power, we see a full spectrum breakdown of allegiance to regime authority. Censorship signals a regime in its death throws; a sign that it is unable to manage or excuse its lies.

What would be the motive for a genocidal enterprise to throw the world down the well of collective madness? Who would need a disinformation program, as the CIA director indicated, and why?

The short answer: the British Empire and its proxy the United States. Both lied about starting WWI. Both can't stop lying to cover their tracks. To stop lying is to admit that 20<sup>th</sup> Century genocides are on them. That is the end of their power on earth.

---

<sup>2</sup> The word "hypernormalization" was coined by Alexei Yurchak, a professor of anthropology. He introduced the word in his book *Everything Was Forever, Until It Was No More: The Last Soviet Generation* (2006), which describes paradoxes of Soviet life prior to its collapse. He says that everyone in the Soviet Union knew the system was failing, but no one could imagine an alternative to the status quo. Politicians and citizens were resigned to maintaining the pretense of a functioning society. Delusion and fakeness was accepted by everyone as real. This collective madness he termed hypernormalisation. Americans now live in communities of PR narratives, not reality. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HyperNormalisation>

By the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, engineers saw the merits of the internal combustion engine. These were small powerful engines that would be the future of machine power. Unlike coal-reliant steam, internal combustion engines use petroleum.

Industrializing nations would need access to petroleum to make use of these new engines. Oil was known to exist in the Persian and Ottoman realms.

Germany was proximate to the Ottomans, more so than France, Italy, or Spain. Continental nations, together, are connected by land to the Ottomans, whereas the British are *not*.

This geographic proximity is a natural German advantage, as significant to history, as the Ottomans having geographic proximity to unlimited oil. A similar natural advantage of Germany is to have coal in the Ruhr Valley, which is coveted by France. French plunder of German coal is no different from Anglo-American oil plunder of the Middle East.

This natural condition of parities and disparities among peoples produces a *pecking order of peoples* that we call Volk-dharma. Volk-dharma is respect for the natural advantages of the peoples of the earth. The rejection of Volk-dharma—greed and envy—causes war. The British refusal to accept natural German “superiority” causes its genocidal discord with Europe. For Europe to survive, this genocidal inferiority complex must be destroyed.

We call German economic development of the Middle East born of its natural advantages the development of Otto-Germania.

Since Germany was the leading industrial nation in continental Europe, it followed that Germany was best positioned *and* capable of developing *Europe's land-route to oil*.

For their own reasons, the Ottomans were eager work with Germany over Czarist Russia. Thus, the line was Germans to build.

An agreement was struck, whereby, Germany would build the railroad and was awarded 20 km of land, on either side of the Berlin-to-Baghdad (BTBR) railroad, to drill for oil.

By today's accounts, Germany was set to be one of the most oil-rich countries on earth. Germany would be the Iraq of Europe *on behalf of Europe*. It would have integrated the German, Austria-Hungarian, and Ottoman realms. Through them the rest of Europe would have thrived.

- ❖ Note the significance of oil coming into Europe by *land*. This kept it safe from British terrorism: naval attacks and blockades.
- ❖ Note the type of deal. The deal reached with the Ottomans was *voluntary*.

What could be better than a *voluntary* agreement that gave Europe *peaceful* and lasting *land access* to oil? From today's perspective of endless wars, championed by deranged lunatics, like John McCain and Hillary Clinton, it was a dream come true.

Best of all, there was no need for future wars for oil, or deposing political leaders by assassination or coup. No need for fake deals with puppet regimes or operations to create them. No need for wars or refugees to threaten Europe's ethnic viability.

No need for Israel to be a beachhead for Anglo oil dominance, as Joe Biden admitted. No need to pawn off Israel as a sacred cow with inviolate and unlimited security needs to gin up Anglo-American wars for oil. No need for religious fanaticism. Israeli security is a euphemism for British domination of Otto-Germania.



We would be in a totally different world, if Germans could have built their world unmolested, thanks to German engineering and benevolent German diplomacy. The BTBR would have stabilized Europe and the Middle East for centuries.

You can see why you have never heard of the Berlin-to-Baghdad Railroad. It makes Germans look like the heroes, which they are. The greatest crime against humanity, the origin of all the great crimes against humanity in the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, has its origin in the ceaseless British effort to destroy Germans and Germany to destroy this railroad and cover their tracks.<sup>3</sup>

That is a function of British lust for world domination. As a matter of public record, Cecil Rhodes admitted that the British want to “put all habitable lands” under British rule.

Who gave the British the right to rule the world? No one. No such right exists. The British appropriate this to themselves and write self-serving history with *a depraved assumption that pretends no other country has legitimate interests*. The US does the same thing.

This posture produces a genocidal anti-German bias that pretends that Germans have no legitimate interests and no rights to exist on earth. This depraved posture and history is indefensible.

The British produce and enforce it through British media and top-down Oxford academic domination. The British invented the smear of Eurocentrism to subvert Europe.

---

<sup>3</sup> For a full account see CENTURY OF WAR: Anglo-American Oil Politics by Engdahl.

<https://archive.org/details/F.WilliamEngdahlCenturyOfWar>

Standard texts on the causes of WWI slander nimble German commerce as a “threat” to bloated British sea-power.

No one is to blame for an uncompetitive British imbalance between military and economic power than the British themselves. Nor can Americans (or Soviets) blame fatal imbalances in their productive-destructive structures on others.

That military spending is a drag the productive economy is *nature's noose on empires*. The British empire and its New World Order go against nature.

1) If German shipping thrives under freedom of the seas, so be it. Germany didn't make the rules. It thrived by playing by them.

2) Threats are in the eye of the beholder. Why would Germany be a threat, when its head of state, the Kaiser, was cousin to the British monarch, and committed to peaceful relations? British paranoids see threats where there are none.

3) Note the self-serving false British assumption of German aggression to legitimize British greed and warmongering.

4) The British are triggered by Europe's economic independence.

The prospect of German sea-power is nothing but a distraction from the Britain's real interest in forcing Europe to take oil by sea, to control Europe by its access to energy. The British want to be able to cut off Europe's access to energy at any time. Only by sea could that be done. This expectation is unjust and untenable. As a British state objective for the last 120 years, it is the cause of war.

What the British want is a Europe on its knees and at the mercy of the British. German land power was enhanced by the oil-railroad and had no effect on British sea power or on British commerce

through the Suez. Any future German ports through the Persian Gulf were aimed at finding alternative routes for continental Europe to trade with Asia, not to cut off British sea routes to Asia.

To call this a threat is a British bias for what is *a British threat to Europe*.

All the pain and suffering that we see in much of the world, pain and suffering that has reached deep into the families of many of your fellow readers, including this author, simply would not exist but for GENOCIDAL BRITISH GEOPOLITICS.

The appalling world of war and violence would not exist, if the British respected the existence of this railroad, which is, a product of Europe's volk-dharma.

By establishing British aggression, history becomes the unfolding of genocidal British geopolitics.

# Chapter 2

There is a logic to the history of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century.

If you truly want to understand the origin of the world wars listen to Cecil Rhodes and draw out the implications. Rhodes was the voice of the British empire.

Rhodes wanted to “put all habitable lands” under the British Empire; on behalf, of the “English-speaking race.” This concept is corrupt since all people can speak English today. Regardless, his ambition seems to have been first conceived in 1873, just two years after the Franco-Prussian war. Rhodes drew up several wills over his life. One, drawn up in 1877, sets forth his purpose:

“To establish, promote, and develop a Secret Society, the true aim of which shall be the extension of British rule throughout the world ... and especially the ultimate recovery of the United States of America as a part of the British Empire.”<sup>4</sup>

His wills are a matter of public record.

The Rhodes Mafia had the monarchy, peerage, and key state instruments on its side to pursue this agenda. The British regime never repudiated the ambition of Cecil Rhodes. Thus, Rhodes speaks for the British regime to this day. Through Rhodes, the British ambition to rule the world is a matter of public record.

From this we have:

- a) The British aim to rule the world is a historical fact.

---

<sup>4</sup> Edited for brevity and clarity. <https://carolynyeager.net/henry-ford-and-cecil-rhodes-opposite-sides-make-news-fatherland>

- b) No historian can cite a similar statement from Hitler or the Kaiser to rule the world. Repeated British slander cannot alter this fact. With Cecil Rhodes we have a smoking gun. Yet, British war histories, despite records recovered in Germany, perpetuate anti-German slander that is, in fact, British infamy.
- c) The Rhodes Mafia is the British regime.
- d) The Rhodes Mafia knew this ambition to conquer the world was controversial and wrong—that it required the use of secret societies, secret intelligence agents, two-faced deceptions, political control over newspapers, and the use of pseudo-academic authority to “manufacture of consent.”<sup>5</sup>
- e) Cecil Rhodes set up a secret society to create a lasting DEEP STATE operation to recruit and position its agents in America, academia, think tanks, politics, and newspapers to fabricate public opinion and write self-serving British-centric stories.
- f) This British ambition to rule the world is a declaration of war against Europe and is a hostile claim to European lands. Hitler fought British conquest.
- g) Due to a RULING SCUM of British origin, Americans did not fight British conquest. For the last 120 years, Americans have put up no resistance to British domination and have paid the price of serving British RACIST DEGENERACY.
- h) The results of the world wars reflect British strategic aims. The British took over world oil supplies through its corporations.

Our first thesis: *the British have a lust for world domination and acted on it.*

This thesis establishes a declaration of British aggression against the world. As libertarians put it, an “initiation of force.”

---

<sup>5</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Manufacturing\\_Consent](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Manufacturing_Consent)

This fundamental aggression caused the world wars and must be acknowledged by historians and pseudo-moralists smearing Hitler. They, wrongfully, indict Hitler's defensive efforts, in places like, Greece, Norway, and France required to counter British conquest of Europe. Objectively, self-defense is exculpatory.

Hitler's defensive actions cannot be characterized as aggression. He actions arose in the context of British aggression to divide and conquer Europe and to make Germans stateless victims of ethnic cleansing, as was done with the Versailles Treaty.

Hitler's actions were responses to specific aggressive attacks by the British against Europe and Germans, which stem from, the British ambition to rule the world.

By contrast, Hitler's National Socialism was "not for export." National Socialism is a political system to serve the German people. Hitler wanted a German political realm, not a multi-racial empire. This puts an inherent limit on the size of a German political realm under National Socialism. It couldn't possibly have led to world domination. It was against his ideology. Hitler never voiced anything other than his own ideology. However, world domination *is* the ideology of British imperialism.

The demonization of Hitler as the aggressor is part of the genocide of Europe, specifically, the tactic of writing self-serving history to conceal British aggression and to shift all blame for the wars to the continental victims of British aggression. At its root, the British-centric perspective is ahistorical and invalid. By contrast, German-centric history is historical and objectively true.

History doesn't start with Hitler, but with Cecil Rhodes.

\* \* \*

Second, the British do not have the manpower to rule the world. They have to simplify the challenge of world domination. The British knew of the anticipated change from coal to oil, in the late 19<sup>th</sup> Century. This meant that those who wanted to rule the world would have to control the world by its oil belly.

- a) The British don't have the manpower to rule the world.
- b) The British understood this change to the primacy of oil.
- c) They saw that controlling oil patches was the easy path to their ambition for world domination. Control of oil patches controls industrial nations. "Control oil and you control nations; control food and you control the people." -Henry Kissinger
- d) This explains the British pivot from India to Arabia; a paradox of one policy for India (self-determination) and another policy for Germany (annihilation); this is an unnoticed and unexplained paradox of Rhodes-Curtis British foreign policy.<sup>6</sup> This also affirms the fact that there is no "white unity." The genocidal British wanted Indians free, but Germans dead. There's no unity with that.
- e) The British wanted to deny Europe its own access to oil.

---

<sup>6</sup> Why did the British aim to give self-determination and independence to India starting in 1909, per Lionel Curtis, yet at the same time have genocidal aims on Germany to deny it development, independence, or self-determination? And how does this square with putting all habitable lands under the British empire? Have historians ever asked this question? I have. I spot and explain this anomaly. If the British wanted to conquer the world, why give up India? Why pivot from India to the Ottoman oil fields? The conception to control the world evolved into control of oil resources. Better to control 1 billion barrels of oil than a billion Indian beggars. This explanation gives the thesis of the present booklet merit. The British pivot to Arabia was key to the genocidal British ambition of world domination. If they wanted India, they could get it back later once Europe is wiped out.

- f) Oil was found in Persia and Ottoman lands.
- g) Germany offered to develop those lands with the Ottomans through a BERLIN-TO-BAGHDAD railroad. This made Germany the main target of GENOCIDAL BRITISH GEOPOLITICS.
- h) The rail line would bring Ottoman oil into Europe by a land-route.
- i) Germany was awarded 20km of oil drilling rights on both sides of the line. This would have made Germany one of the most oil rich nations in history.
- j) Peace-seeking Germany invited the malicious British to participate in the rail development. The malicious and vicious British said no.
- k) The British wanted to disrupt Europe's *land-based* access to Ottoman oil and force oil to *pass by sea* lanes, which they could cut off at any time. This is how the British aimed to hold Europe hostage to its sea power.<sup>7</sup>
- l) To disrupt and destroy Europe's land-based access to Ottoman oil was the main objective of Britain's world wars.
- m) The world wars achieved British conquest and domination of Europe and denied Europe independent access to oil.
- n) To hold this domination over Europe, and thus the world, necessitates the genocide of Europeans, and Germans particularly, given Germany's unique land-route proximity to Ottoman lands compared to France, Italy, or Spain.
- o) Germans and German interests must always be cast as in a dark and sinister light with scary music to maximize a negative psychological impression on the general public.

---

<sup>7</sup> The heroic U-boats were Europe's only tactical response to British sea power. Only Germans bothered to build this capacity, not the masonic French.



p) British world domination demands the genocide of Europe—Germans first.

This puts an, entirely, new perspective on the world wars.

Let's review.

Our first thesis was that *the British demand world domination.*

Our second thesis is that *the British had a strategy for world domination by means of controlling the world's access to oil.* This power could only be achieved and retained by the genocide of millions of Germans, which Britain strives for, to this day.

The third thesis follows: *British world domination demands the genocide of Europe—Germans first.*

This is the inextricable consequence of the British ambition for world domination. We shall illustrate and explore the tactics of British genocide of Germans in later chapters. At this point, you can see the terse logic of the murder of Europe.

Oil allowed the British to narrow their focus. Instead of taking control of all habitable lands, they would only have to take control of the oil patches. Thus, while it may seem that the British do not control the world today, they do so covertly. Their major objective is to own and control oil resources. This allows them to control the industrialization of societies and their military power. The British shifted focus from India to Arabia. The British pivot to Arabia caused the endless discord in the Middle East and explains British withdrawal from India. America the poodle serves this end.

Only Europe could object to British world domination. Only Europe could "threaten" British domination of Ottoman oil. Thus, Europe and Germany, especially, are sentenced to genocide.

# Chapter 3

*"Our primary purpose is destruction of as many Germans as possible."*<sup>8</sup> - Eisenhower

By acknowledging GENOCIDAL BRITISH GEOPOLITICS, as the cause of the world wars, we negate the legitimacy of British conquest of Europe and the entire British perspective on history.

This achieves what Jan Lamprecht indicates.

"Only a moral victory can achieve permanent victory. If the good does not triumph, the war is still open to reversal. The good can make a comeback through renewed support for the good. A victor who wishes to permanently hold his conquest must be perceived as the good guy."

The British empire *is* the evil empire.

For Europe to survive British genocide, Europe:

- 1) Must embrace the fact that the wars were CAUSED by GENOCIDAL BRITISH GEOPOLITICS.
- 2) Must rehabilitate the victims of British slander.
- 3) Must repudiate British victory over Europe.
- 4) Must repudiate and dissolve all institutions, programs, and ideas that serve to genocide European peoples.

---

<sup>8</sup> Keeling, Ralph Franklin. *Gruesome Harvest: The Costly Attempt to Exterminate the People of Germany*. Page 8 from: <https://ia800400.us.archive.org/7/items/GruesomeHarvestTheAlliedAttemptToExterminateGermanyAfter1945/Gruesome%20Harvest%20The%20Allied%20Attempt%20To%20Exterminate%20Germany%20After%201945.pdf>

The conquest and genocide of Germans by the British did not discredit National Socialism. Rather, the war and post war experience proved the necessity of it.

Through Germans all European peoples can realize the imminent danger of their demise. No matter how much Hollywood or the British media drag Hitler's name through the mud, they cannot finish off the necessity that politics must serve the volk. When politics fails the volk a people is brought to extinction. That is what the British institutionalized over Europe through "de-nazification," which has become a genocidal tyranny. The British system is the system of extinction. The British cannot hide their genocidal geopolitics or their malice for European man. Europeans can only throw that system off, once and for all.

The attack on European civilization has one *institutional* origin. The *British* claim a right to world domination. The *British* claim the right to strangle Europe for British aggrandizement. The British ambition to rule the world is the origin of the *Murder of Europe*.

It's not suicide. It's murder.

Many disingenuous British-aligned white nationalist pop intellectuals will say that Europe is "committing suicide." It's not suicide. It's murder. Likewise, to claim that Jews are the primary cause is false. Jews work for the British. These apparently suicidal attitudes and policies are not a direct product of Europeans, but products of the enemy British who imposed inverted values onto Europe. Genocidal FAKE STATE institutions imposed on Europe since WWII now run on autopilot. The British have direct responsibility for them, including, the mass rape invasion of Europe by criminal refugees. Its wars, its refugees, and a Europe trained to repudiate national integrity produced mass rape.

The insanity that we see in the news is the effect of eighty years of British-American propaganda, psychological warfare, and nation-wrecking social engineering.

Europe was promised liberation from tyranny when, in reality, it was targeted for genocide all along.

Given British the intent to ruin Europe being the root of these catastrophes, the world must repudiate the genocidally-driven British-American-Soviet victory over Europe. Europeans must lead the way. No one but them can say better what must be said or demand what must be done.

Tactically, however, British ethnic power over Europe now rests in the hands of the United States. Thus, only with the collapse or dissolution of the United State can Europe's complete genocide be averted. The America boot on the throat of Europe must be removed. Only with a popular uprising by the children of Europe in America, against Anglo-American destruction of Europe, can the murder of Europe and European civilization be stopped.

This is now possible.

The racial divisions and polarization are such that Americans are increasingly discussing civil war.

Part of what is tearing America apart is Hitler-hate itself.

In a type of karma, Americans demonize their political opponents as Hitler, thereby, dehumanizing their opponent.

A dehumanized opponent is easy to kill.



The only way to avert civil war on this count is for Americans to rehabilitate Hitler, on historical grounds, by acknowledging the perverse history and logic of GENOCIDAL BRITISH GEOPOLITICS.

The other option is a civil war that will tear America to apart over twenty years leading to major and multiple breakups of the country.

Either way, the prospect for Europe to repudiate Americanism, its false heroes, and its victory over Europe is at hand.